

A Lyapunov functional and blow-up results for a class of perturbed semilinear wave equations

M. Hamza

Faculté des Sciences de Tunis

H. Zaag*

CNRS LAGA Université Paris 13

February 11, 2010

Abstract

We consider in this paper some class of perturbation for the semilinear wave equation with subcritical (in the conformal transform sense) power nonlinearity. We first derive a Lyapunov functional in similarity variables and then use it to derive the blow-up rate. Though the result is similar to the unperturbed case in its statements, this is not the case of our method, which is new up to our knowledge.

Keywords: Wave equation, finite time blow-up, blow-up rate, perturbations.

AMS classification : 35L05, 35L67, 35B20.

1 Introduction

This paper is devoted to the study of blow-up solutions for the following semilinear wave equation:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} = \Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u + f(u) + g(u_t), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \\ (u(x, 0), u_t(x, 0)) = (u_0(x), u_1(x)) \in H_{loc,u}^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L_{loc,u}^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

*This author is supported by a grant from the french Agence Nationale de la Recherche, project ONDENONLIN, reference ANR-06-BLAN-0185.

where $p > 1$, f and g are locally Lipschitz-continuous satisfying the following condition

$$(H_f) \quad |f(x)| \leq M(1 + |x|^q) \quad \text{with } (q < p, \quad M > 0),$$

$$(H_g) \quad |g(x)| \leq M(1 + |x|),$$

and $L^2_{loc,u}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $H^1_{loc,u}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are the spaces defined by

$$L^2_{loc,u}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} / \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left(\int_{|x-a| \leq 1} |u(x)|^2 dx \right) < +\infty\},$$

and

$$H^1_{loc,u}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in L^2_{loc,u}(\mathbb{R}^N), |\nabla u| \in L^2_{loc,u}(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

We assume in addition that

$$1 < p < p_c \equiv 1 + \frac{4}{N-1}.$$

The Cauchy problem of equation (1.1) is wellposed in $H^1_{loc,u} \times L^2_{loc,u}$. This follows from the finite speed of propagation and the wellposedness in $H^1 \times L^2$, valid whenever $1 < p < 1 + \frac{4}{N-2}$. The existence of blow-up solutions for the associated ordinary differential equation of (1.1) is a classical result. By using the finite speed of propagation, we conclude that there exists a blow-up solution $u(t)$ of (1.1). In this paper, we consider a blow-up solution $u(t)$ of (1.1), we define (see for example Alinhac [1] and [2]) Γ as the graph of a function $x \rightarrow T(x)$ such that u cannot be extended beyond the set

$$D_u = \{(x, t) \mid t < T(x)\}.$$

The set D_u is called the maximal influence domain of u . Moreover, from the finite speed of propagation, T is a 1-Lipschitz function. Let $\bar{T}$ be the minimum of $T(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. The time $\bar{T}$ and the graph Γ are called (respectively) the blow-up time and the blow-up graph of u .

Let us first introduce the following non degeneracy condition for Γ . If we introduce for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $t \leq T(x)$ and $\delta > 0$, the cone

$$C_{x,t,\delta} = \{(\xi, \tau) \neq (x, t) \mid 0 \leq \tau \leq t - \delta|\xi - x|\}, \quad (1.2)$$

then our non degeneracy condition is the following: x_0 is a non characteristic point if

$$\exists \delta_0 = \delta_0(x_0) \in (0, 1) \text{ such that } u \text{ is defined on } C_{x_0, T(x_0), \delta_0}. \quad (1.3)$$

We aim at studying the growth estimate of $u(t)$ near the space-time blow-up graph. In the case $(f, g) \equiv (0, 0)$, equation (1.1) reduces to the semilinear wave equation:

$$u_{tt} = \Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, \bar{T}). \quad (1.4)$$

Merle and Zaag in [8] (see also [6] and [7]) have proved, that if u is a solution of (1.4) with blow up graph $\Gamma : \{x \rightarrow T(x)\}$, then for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t \in [\frac{3}{4}T(x_0), T(x_0)]$, the growth estimate near the space-time blow-up graph satisfies

$$(T(x_0) - t)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} \frac{\|u(t)\|_{L^2(B(x_0, \frac{T(x_0)-t}{2}))}}{(T(x_0) - t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} + (T(x_0) - t)^{\frac{2}{p-1}+1} \left(\frac{\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2(B(x_0, \frac{T(x_0)-t}{2}))}}{(T(x_0) - t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} + \frac{\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(B(x_0, \frac{T(x_0)-t}{2}))}}{(T(x_0) - t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right) \leq K,$$

where the constant K depends only on N, p , and on an upper bound on $T(x_0)$, $\frac{1}{T(x_0)}$ and the initial data in $H_{loc,u}^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L_{loc,u}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If in addition x_0 is non characteristic (in the sense (1.3)), then for all $t \in [\frac{3T(x_0)}{4}, T(x_0)]$,

$$0 < \varepsilon_0(N, p) \leq (T(x_0) - t)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} \frac{\|u(t)\|_{L^2(B(x_0, T(x_0)-t))}}{(T(x_0) - t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} + (T(x_0) - t)^{\frac{2}{p-1}+1} \left(\frac{\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2(B(x_0, T(x_0)-t))}}{(T(x_0) - t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} + \frac{\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(B(x_0, T(x_0)-t))}}{(T(x_0) - t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right) \leq K,$$

where the constant K depends only on N, p , and on an upper bound on $T(x_0)$, $\frac{1}{T(x_0)}$, $\delta_0(x_0)$ and the initial data in $H_{loc,u}^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L_{loc,u}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Following this blow-up rate estimate, Merle and Zaag addressed the question of the asymptotic behavior of $u(x, t)$ near Γ in one space dimension.

More precisely, they proved in [9] and [10] that the set of non characteristic points $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is open and that $x \mapsto T(x)$ is of class C^1 on $\mathcal{R}$. They also described the blow-up profile of u near $(x_0, T(x_0))$ when $x_0 \in \mathcal{R}$.

In [11], they proved that $S = \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ has an empty interior and that Γ is a corner of angle $\frac{\pi}{2}$ near any $x_0 \in S$. They also showed that $u(x, t)$ decomposes in a sum of decoupled solitons near $(x_0, T(x_0))$.

Our aim in this work is to generalize the blow-up rate estimate obtained for equation (1.4) in [6] and [8] in the subcritical case ($p < p_c$) to equation (1.1).

One may think that such a generalization is straightforward and only technical. In fact, that opinion may be valid for all the steps, except for the very first one, that is, the existence of a Lyapunov functional in similarity variables which is far from being trivial. That functional is our main contribution. The existence of the Lyapunov functional is a crucial step towards the derivation of blow-up results for equation (1.1).

As in [6] and [7], we want to write the solution v of the associate ordinary differential equation of (1.1). It is clear that v is given by

$$v'' = v^p + f(v) + g(v'), \quad v(T) = +\infty, \quad (1.5)$$

and satisfies:

$$v(t) \sim \frac{\kappa}{(T-t)^{\frac{2}{p-1}}} \text{ as } t \rightarrow T, \text{ where } \kappa = \left(\frac{2p+2}{(p-1)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}. \quad (1.6)$$

For this reason, we define for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $0 < T_0 \leq T_0(x_0)$, the following similary transformation introduced in Antonini and Merle [3] and used in [6],[7] and [8]:

$$y = \frac{x - x_0}{T_0 - t}, \quad s = -\log(T_0 - t), \quad u(x, t) = \frac{1}{(T_0 - t)^{\frac{2}{p-1}}} w_{x_0, T_0}(y, s). \quad (1.7)$$

The function w_{x_0, T_0} (we write w for simplicity) satisfies the following equation for all $y \in B$ and $s \geq -\log T_0$:

$$\begin{aligned} w_{ss} = & \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div}(\rho \nabla w - \rho(y \cdot \nabla w)y) - \frac{2p+2}{(p-1)^2} w + |w|^{p-1} w - \frac{p+3}{p-1} w_s - 2y \cdot \nabla w_s \\ & + e^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}} f\left(e^{\frac{2s}{p-1}} w\right) + e^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}} g\left(e^{\frac{(p+1)s}{p-1}} (w_s + y \cdot \nabla w + \frac{2}{p-1} w)\right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

where $\rho = (1 - |y|^2)^\alpha$ and $\alpha = \frac{2}{p-1} - \frac{N-1}{2} > 0$.

In the new set of variables (y, s) , the behavior of u as $t \rightarrow T_0$ is equivalent to the behavior of w as $s \rightarrow +\infty$.

Remark:

We remark that the corresponding terms of the functions $f(u)$ and $g(u)$ in the problem (1.8) satisfy the following inequalities, for all $s \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}} \left| f\left(e^{\frac{2s}{p-1}} w\right) \right| & \leq C M e^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}} + C M e^{-\frac{2(p-q)s}{p-1}} |w|^q \\ & \leq C M e^{-\frac{2(p-q)s}{p-1}} + C M e^{-\frac{2(p-q)s}{p-1}} |w|^p, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}} g\left(e^{\frac{(p+1)s}{p-1}} (w_s + y \cdot \nabla w + \frac{2}{p-1} w)\right) & \leq C M e^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}} + C M e^{-s} \left| w_s + y \cdot \nabla w + \frac{2}{p-1} w \right|. \end{aligned}$$

For this reason, we can see that in the variable (y, s) the problem (1.8) is a perturbation of the particular case where $(f, g) \equiv (0, 0)$, when $s \rightarrow +\infty$.

The equation (1.8) will be studied in the space $\mathcal{H}$

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ (w_1, w_2), \left| \int_B \left(w_2^2 + |\nabla w_1|^2 (1 - |y|^2) + w_1^2 \right) \rho dy < +\infty \right. \right\}.$$

In the whole paper, we denote $F(u) = \int_0^u f(v)dv$.

In the case $(f, g) \equiv (0, 0)$, Antonini and Merle [3] proved that

$$E_0(w) = \int_B \left(\frac{1}{2}w_s^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla w|^2 - \frac{1}{2}(y \cdot \nabla w)^2 + \frac{p+1}{(p-1)^2}w^2 - \frac{1}{p+1}|w|^{p+1} \right) \rho dy, \quad (1.9)$$

is a Lyapunov functional for equation (1.8). When $(f, g) \neq (0, 0)$, we introduce

$$H(w) = E(w)e^{\frac{p+3}{2\gamma}e^{-\gamma s}} + \theta e^{-2\gamma s}, \quad (1.10)$$

where θ is a sufficiently large constant that will be determined later,

$$\begin{aligned} E(w) &= E_0(w) + I(w) + J(w), \quad I(w) = -e^{-\frac{2(p+1)s}{p-1}} \int_B F(e^{\frac{2}{p-1}s}w) \rho dy, \\ \text{and } J(w) &= -e^{-\gamma s} \int_B w w_s \rho dy \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma = \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p-q}{p-1}\right) > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

We now claim that the functional $H(w)$ is a decreasing function of time for equation (1.8), provided that s is large enough.

Here we announce our main result.

THEOREM 1.1 (*Existence of a Lyapunov functional for equation (1.8)*)

Let N, p, q and M be fixed. There exists $S_0 = S_0(N, p, q, M) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and w solution of equation (1.8) satisfying $(w, w_s) \in \mathcal{C}([s_0, +\infty), \mathcal{H})$, it holds that H satisfies the following inequality, for all $s_2 > s_1 \geq \max(s_0, S_0)$,

$$H(w(s_2)) - H(w(s_1)) \leq -\alpha \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B w_s^2(y, s) \frac{\rho}{1 - |y|^2} dy ds, \quad (1.12)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{2}{p-1} - \frac{N-1}{2}$.

Remarks:

1. One may wonder why we take only sublinear perturbations in u_t (see hypothesis (H_g)). It happens that any superlinear terms in u_t generates in similarity variables L^r norms of w_s and ∇w , where $r > 2$, hence, non controllable by the terms in the Lyapunov functional $E_0(w)$ (1.9) of the non perturbed equation (1.4).
2. Our method breaks down in the critical case $p = p_c$, since in the energy estimates in similarity variables, the perturbations terms are integrated on the whole unit ball, hence, difficult to control with the dissipation of the non perturbed equation (1.4), which degenerates to the boundary of the unit ball.

As we said earlier, the existence of this Lyapunov functional (and a blow-up criterion for equation (1.8) based in H , see Lemma 2.3 below) are a crucial step in the derivation of the blow-up rate for equation (1.1). Indeed, with the functional H and some more work, we are able to adapt the analysis performed in [8] for equation (1.4) and get the following result:

THEOREM 1.2 (*blow-up rate for equation (1.1)*)

Let N, p, q and M be fixed. Then, there exist $\widehat{S}_0 = \widehat{S}_0(N, p, q, M) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(N, p, M)$, such that if u is a solution of (1.1) with blow-up graph $\Gamma : \{x \rightarrow T(x)\}$ and x_0 is a non characteristic point, then

i) For all $s \geq \widehat{S}_0(x_0) = \max(\widehat{S}_0(N, p, q, M), -\log \frac{T(x_0)}{4})$,

$$0 < \varepsilon_0 \leq \|w_{x_0, T(x_0)}(s)\|_{H^1(B)} + \|\partial_s w_{x_0, T(x_0)}(s)\|_{L^2(B)} \leq K,$$

where $w_{x_0, T(x_0)}$ is defined in (1.8) and B is the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^N$.

ii) For all $t \in [t_0(x_0), T(x_0))$, where $t_0(x_0) = \max(T(x_0) - e^{-\widehat{S}_0(x_0)}, \frac{3T(x_0)}{4})$, we have

$$0 < \varepsilon_0 \leq (T(x_0) - t)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} \frac{\|u(t)\|_{L^2(B(x_0, T(x_0)-t))}}{(T(x_0) - t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \\ + (T(x_0) - t)^{\frac{2}{p-1}+1} \left(\frac{\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2(B(x_0, T(x_0)-t))}}{(T(x_0) - t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} + \frac{\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(B(x_0, T(x_0)-t))}}{(T(x_0) - t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right) \leq K,$$

where $K = K(N, p, q, \widehat{S}_0(x_0), \|(u(t_0(x_0)), u_t(t_0(x_0)))\|_{H^1 \times L^2(B(x_0, \frac{e^{-\widehat{S}_0(x_0)}{\delta_0(x_0)}}))})$ and $\delta_0(x_0) \in (0, 1)$ is defined in (1.3).

Remark:

With this blow-up rate, one can ask whether the results proved by Merle and Zaag for the non perturbed problem in [9] [10] [11], hold for equation (1.1) (blow-up, profile, regularity of the blow-up graph, existence of characteristic points, etc...). We believe that it is the case, however, the proof will be highly technical, with no interesting ideas (in particular, equation (1.1) is not conserved under the Lorentz transform, which is crucial in [9] [10] [11], and lots of minor term will appear in the analysis). Once again, we believe that the key point in the analysis of blow-up for equation (1.1) is the derivation of a Lyapunov functional in similarity variables, which is the object of our paper.

As in the particular case where $(f, g) \equiv (0, 0)$, the proof of Theorem 1.2 relies on four ideas (the existence of a Lyapunov functional, interpolation in Sobolev spaces, some Gagliardo-Nirenberg estimates and a covering technique adapted to the geometric shape of the blow-up surface). It happens that adapting the proof of [8] given in the non perturbed case (1.4) is straightforward, except for a key argument, where we bound the L^{p+1} space-time norm of w . Therefore, we only present that argument, and refer to [6] and [8] for the rest of the proof.

This paper is divided in two sections, each of them devoted to the proof of a Theorem.

2 A Lyapunov functional for equation (1.8)

This section is divided in two parts:

- We first prove the existence of a Lyapunov functional for equation (1.8).
- Then, we give a blow-up criterion for equation (1.8) based on the Lyapunov functional.

Throughout this section, we consider $(w, w_s) \in \mathcal{C}([s_0, +\infty), \mathcal{H})$ where w is a solution of (1.8) and $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. We aim at proving that the functional H defined in (1.10) is a Lyapunov functional for equation (1.8), provided that $s \geq S_0$, for some $S_0 = S_0(N, p, q, M)$. We denote by C a constant which depends only on (p, q, N, M) . We denote the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^N$ by B .

2.1 Existence of a Lyapunov functional

LEMMA 2.1 *Let N, p, q and M be fixed. There exists $S_1 = S_1(N, p, q, M) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and w solution of equation (1.8) satisfying $(w, w_s) \in \mathcal{C}([s_0, +\infty), \mathcal{H})$, we have the following inequality, for all $s \geq \max(s_0, S_1)$,*

$$\frac{d}{ds}(E_0(w) + I(w)) \leq -\frac{3\alpha}{2} \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1-|y|^2} dy + \Sigma_0(s), \quad (2.1)$$

where Σ_0 satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_0(s) &\leq C e^{-2s} \int_B |\nabla w|^2 (1-|y|^2) \rho dy + C e^{-2s} \int_B w^2 \rho dy \\ &\quad + C e^{-\frac{2(p-q)s}{p-1}} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy + C e^{-\frac{2(p-q)s}{p-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Multipling (1.8) by $w_s \rho$, and integrating over the ball B , we obtain, for all $s \geq s_0$, (recall from [3] that in the case where, $(f, g) \equiv (0, 0)$, we have $\frac{d}{ds} E_0(w) = -2\alpha \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1-|y|^2} dy$.)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds}(E_0(w) + I(w)) &= -2\alpha \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1-|y|^2} dy + \underbrace{\frac{2(p+1)}{p-1} e^{-\frac{2(p+1)s}{p-1}} \int_B F\left(e^{\frac{2s}{p-1}} w\right) \rho dy}_{I_1} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{2}{p-1} e^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}} \int_B f\left(e^{\frac{2s}{p-1}} w\right) w \rho dy}_{I_2} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{e^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}} \int_B g\left(e^{\frac{(p+1)s}{p-1}} (w_s + y \cdot \nabla w + \frac{2}{p-1} w)\right) w_s \rho dy}_{I_3}. \quad (2.2) \end{aligned}$$

By exploiting the fact that $|F(x)| + |xf(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^{q+1})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| + |I_2| &\leq Ce^{-\frac{2(p+1)s}{p-1}} \int_B (1 + |e^{\frac{2s}{p-1}} w|^{q+1}) \rho dy \\ &\leq Ce^{-\frac{2(p+1)s}{p-1}} + Ce^{-\frac{2(p-q)s}{p-1}} \int_B |w|^{q+1} \rho dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Noticing that $|x|^{q+1} \leq C(1 + |x|^{p+1})$, we deduce from (2.3) that for all $s \geq \max(s_0, 0)$,

$$|I_1| + |I_2| \leq Ce^{-\frac{2(p-q)s}{p-1}} + Ce^{-\frac{2(p-q)s}{p-1}} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy. \quad (2.4)$$

Since $|g(x)| \leq M(1 + |x|)$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &\leq Ce^{-s} \int_B w_s^2 \rho dy + Ce^{-s} \int_B |y \cdot \nabla w| |w_s| \rho dy \\ &\quad + Ce^{-s} \int_B |ww_s| \rho dy + Ce^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}} \int_B |w_s| \rho dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

By exploiting the inequality $ab \leq \frac{a^2}{2} + \frac{b^2}{2}$, we obtain

$$Ce^{-s} \int_B |y \cdot \nabla w| |w_s| \rho dy \leq \frac{\alpha}{8} \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1 - |y|^2} dy + Ce^{-2s} \int_B |\nabla w|^2 (1 - |y|^2) \rho dy. \quad (2.6)$$

Similarly, we prove that

$$Ce^{-s} \int_B |ww_s| \rho dy \leq \frac{\alpha}{8} \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1 - |y|^2} dy + Ce^{-2s} \int_B w^2 \rho dy. \quad (2.7)$$

We infer from the inequality $|a| \leq 1 + a^2$ that

$$Ce^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}} \int_B |w_s| \rho dy \leq Ce^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}} + Ce^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}} \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1 - |y|^2} dy. \quad (2.8)$$

Combining (2.5), (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8), we conclude that, for all $s \geq \max(s_0, 0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &\leq (Ce^{-s} + \frac{\alpha}{4}) \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1 - |y|^2} dy + Ce^{-2s} \int_B w^2 \rho dy \\ &\quad + Ce^{-2s} \int_B |\nabla w|^2 (1 - |y|^2) \rho dy + Ce^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Then, by using (2.2), (2.4) and (2.9), we deduce that, for all $s \geq \max(s_0, 0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds}(E(w) + I(w)) &\leq (-\frac{7\alpha}{4} + Ce^{-s}) \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1 - |y|^2} dy + Ce^{-2s} \int_B |\nabla w|^2 (1 - |y|^2) \rho dy \\ &\quad + Ce^{-2s} \int_B w^2 \rho dy + Ce^{-\frac{2(p-q)s}{p-1}} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy + Ce^{-\frac{2(p-q)s}{p-1}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Taking $S_1 = S_1(N, p, q, M)$ large enough, we have the estimate (2.1). This concludes the proof of Lemma 2.1. ■

We are now going to prove the following estimate for the functional J :

LEMMA 2.2 *Let N, p, q and M be fixed. There exists $S_2 = S_2(N, p, q, M) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and w solution of equation (1.8) satisfying $(w, w_s) \in \mathcal{C}([s_0, +\infty), \mathcal{H})$, J satisfies the following inequality, for all $s \geq \max(s_0, S_2)$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds}J(w) &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1-|y|^2} dy + \frac{p+3}{2} e^{-\gamma s} E(s) \\ &\quad - \frac{p-1}{4} e^{-\gamma s} \int_B (|\nabla w|^2 (1-|y|^2) \rho dy \\ &\quad - \frac{p+1}{2(p-1)} e^{-\gamma s} \int_B w^2 \rho dy - \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} e^{-\gamma s} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy + \Sigma_1(s), \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where $\gamma = \min(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p-q}{p-1}) > 0$ and $\Sigma_1(s)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1(s) &\leq C e^{-2\gamma s} \int_B w^2 \rho dy + C e^{-2\gamma s} \int_B |\nabla w|^2 \rho (1-|y|^2) dy \\ &\quad + C e^{-2\gamma s} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy + C e^{-2\gamma s}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Proof: Note that J is a differentiable function for all $s \geq s_0$ and that

$$\frac{d}{ds}J(w) = \gamma e^{-\gamma s} \int_B w w_s \rho dy - e^{-\gamma s} \int_B w_s^2 \rho dy - e^{-\gamma s} \int_B w w_{ss} \rho dy.$$

By using equation (1.8) and integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds}J(w) &= -e^{-\gamma s} \int_B w_s^2 \rho dy + e^{-\gamma s} \int_B (|\nabla w|^2 - (y \cdot \nabla w)^2) \rho dy \\ &\quad + \frac{2p+2}{(p-1)^2} e^{-\gamma s} \int_B w^2 \rho dy - e^{-\gamma s} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy \\ &\quad + (\gamma + \frac{p+3}{p-1} - 2N) e^{-\gamma s} \int_B w w_s \rho dy - 2e^{-\gamma s} \int_B w w_s (y \cdot \nabla \rho) dy \\ &\quad - 2e^{-\gamma s} \int_B w_s (y \cdot \nabla w) \rho dy - e^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1} - \gamma s} \int_B w f\left(e^{\frac{2s}{p-1}} w\right) \rho dy \\ &\quad - e^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1} - \gamma s} \int_B w g\left(e^{\frac{(p+1)s}{p-1}} (w_s + y \cdot \nabla w + \frac{2}{p-1} w)\right) \rho dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

By combining (1.9), (1.11) and (2.13), we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{ds}J(w) \leq & \frac{p+3}{2}e^{-\gamma s}E(s) - \frac{p-1}{4}e^{-\gamma s} \int_B (|\nabla w|^2 - (y \cdot \nabla w)^2) \rho dy \\
& - \frac{p+1}{2(p-1)}e^{-\gamma s} \int_B w^2 \rho dy - \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)}e^{-\gamma s} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy \\
& + \underbrace{\left(\gamma + \frac{p+3}{p-1} - 2N + \frac{p+3}{2}e^{-\gamma s} \right) e^{-\gamma s} \int_B ww_s \rho dy}_{J_1} \\
& - \underbrace{2e^{-\gamma s} \int_B ww_s(y \cdot \nabla \rho) dy}_{J_2} - \underbrace{2e^{-\gamma s} \int_B w_s(y \cdot \nabla w) \rho dy}_{J_3} \\
& - \underbrace{e^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}-\gamma s} \int_B wf\left(e^{\frac{2s}{p-1}}w\right) \rho dy}_{J_4} \\
& - \underbrace{e^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}-\gamma s} \int_B wg\left(e^{\frac{(p+1)s}{p-1}}\left(w_s + y \cdot \nabla w + \frac{2}{p-1}w\right)\right) \rho dy}_{J_5} \\
& + \underbrace{\frac{(p+3)}{2}e^{-\frac{2(p+1)s}{p-1}-\gamma s} \int_B F(e^{\frac{2}{p-1}s}w) \rho dy}_{J_6}. \tag{2.14}
\end{aligned}$$

We now study each of the last five terms. To estimate J_1 , we use the fact that for all $s \geq \max(s_0, 0)$,

$$\left| \gamma + \frac{p+3}{p-1} - 2N + \frac{p+3}{2}e^{-\gamma s} \right| \leq C. \tag{2.15}$$

By using (2.15) and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we obtain

$$|J_1| \leq Ce^{-\gamma s} \int_B |ww_s| \rho dy \leq \frac{\alpha}{8} \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1-|y|^2} dy + Ce^{-2\gamma s} \int_B w^2 \rho dy. \tag{2.16}$$

Now we estimate the expression J_2 . Since we have $y \cdot \nabla \rho = -2\alpha \frac{|y|^2}{(1-|y|^2)} \rho$, we can use the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to write

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_2| & \leq Ce^{-\gamma s} \int_B |w_s|(1-|y|^2)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} |w| |y| (1-|y|^2)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} dy \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha}{8} \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1-|y|^2} dy + Ce^{-2\gamma s} \int_B w^2 \frac{|y|^2 \rho}{1-|y|^2} dy. \tag{2.17}
\end{aligned}$$

Since we have the following Hardy type inequality for any $w \in H_{loc,u}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see appendix B in [6] for details):

$$\int_B w^2 \frac{|y|^2 \rho}{1-|y|^2} dy \leq C \int_B |\nabla w|^2 \rho (1-|y|^2) dy + C \int_B w^2 \rho dy, \tag{2.18}$$

we use (2.17) and (2.18) to conclude that

$$|J_2| \leq \frac{\alpha}{8} \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1-|y|^2} dy + C e^{-2\gamma s} \int_B w^2 \rho dy + C e^{-2\gamma s} \int_B |\nabla w|^2 \rho (1-|y|^2) dy. \quad (2.19)$$

By using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$|J_3| \leq \frac{\alpha}{8} \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1-|y|^2} dy + C e^{-2\gamma s} \int_B |\nabla w|^2 \rho (1-|y|^2) dy. \quad (2.20)$$

By exploiting the fact that $|F(x)| \leq CM(1+|x|^{q+1})$ and $|f(x)| \leq M(1+|x|^q)$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} |J_4| + |J_6| &\leq C e^{-\frac{2(p-q)s}{p-1} - \gamma s} \int_B (1+|w|^{q+1}) \rho dy \leq C e^{-2\gamma s} \int_B (1+|w|^{p+1}) \rho dy \\ &\leq C e^{-2\gamma s} + C e^{-2\gamma s} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

In a similar way, by using the fact that $|g(x)| \leq M(1+|x|)$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} |J_5| &\leq C e^{-2\gamma s} \int_B w_s^2 \rho dy + C e^{-2\gamma s} \int_B |y \cdot \nabla w| |w| \rho dy \\ &\quad + C e^{-2\gamma s} \int_B w^2 \rho dy + C e^{-2\gamma s}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (2.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |J_5| &\leq C e^{-2\gamma s} \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1-|y|^2} dy + C e^{-2\gamma s} \int_B |\nabla w|^2 \rho (1-|y|^2) dy \\ &\quad + C e^{-2\gamma s} \int_B w^2 \rho dy + C e^{-2\gamma s}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Finally, by using (2.14), (2.16), (2.19), (2.20), (2.21) and (2.22) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} J(w) &\leq \frac{p+3}{2} e^{-\gamma s} E(s) - \frac{p-1}{4} e^{-\gamma s} \int_B (|\nabla w|^2 - (y \cdot \nabla w)^2) \rho dy \\ &\quad - \frac{p+1}{2(p-1)} e^{-\gamma s} \int_B w^2 \rho dy - \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} e^{-\gamma s} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy \\ &\quad + C e^{-2\gamma s} \int_B w^2 \rho dy + C e^{-2\gamma s} \int_B |\nabla w|^2 \rho (1-|y|^2) dy \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{3\alpha}{8} + C e^{-2\gamma s} \right) \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1-|y|^2} dy + C e^{-2\gamma s} + C e^{-2\gamma s} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|y \cdot \nabla w| \leq |y| |\nabla w|$, it follows that

$$\int_B |\nabla w|^2 \rho (1-|y|^2) dy \leq \int_B (|\nabla w|^2 - (y \cdot \nabla w)^2) \rho dy. \quad (2.23)$$

Taking $S_2 = S_2(N, p, q, M)$ large enough, we have easily the estimate (2.11) and (2.12). This concludes the proof of Lemma 2.2. $\blacksquare$

With Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1

From Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we obtain for all $s \geq \max(s_0, S_1, S_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds}E(w) &\leq Ce^{-2\gamma s} + \frac{p+3}{2}e^{-\gamma s}E(w) - \alpha \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1-|y|^2} dy \\ &\quad + (Ce^{-\gamma s} - \frac{p-1}{4})e^{-\gamma s} \int_B (|\nabla w|^2(1-|y|^2)\rho dy \\ &\quad + (Ce^{-\gamma s} - \frac{p+1}{2(p-1)})e^{-\gamma s} \int_B w^2 \rho dy \\ &\quad + (Ce^{-\gamma s} - \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)})e^{-\gamma s} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

We now choose $S_0 \geq \max(S_1, S_2)$, large enough, so that for all $s \geq S_0$, we have

$$\frac{p-1}{4} - Ce^{-\gamma s} \geq 0, \quad \frac{p+1}{2(p-1)} - Ce^{-\gamma s} \geq 0, \quad \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} - Ce^{-\gamma s} \geq 0.$$

Then, we deduce that, for all $s \geq \max(S_0, s_0)$, we have

$$\frac{d}{ds}E(w) \leq Ce^{-2\gamma s} + \frac{p+3}{2}e^{-\gamma s}E(w) - \alpha \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1-|y|^2} dy. \quad (2.25)$$

Finally, we prove easily that the function H satisfies, for all $s \geq \max(S_0, s_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds}H(w) &\leq (Ce^{\frac{p+3}{2\gamma}e^{-\gamma s}} - 2\theta\gamma)e^{-2\gamma s} - \alpha e^{\frac{p+3}{2\gamma}e^{-\gamma s}} \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1-|y|^2} dy \\ &\leq (C - 2\theta\gamma)e^{-2\gamma s} - \alpha \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1-|y|^2} dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

We now choose θ large enough, so we have $C - 2\theta\gamma \leq 0$ and then

$$\frac{d}{ds}H(w) \leq -\alpha \int_B w_s^2 \frac{\rho}{1-|y|^2} dy. \quad (2.27)$$

Now (1.12) is a direct consequence of inequality (2.27).

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1. $\blacksquare$

2.2 A blow-up criterion in the $w(y, s)$ variable

We now claim the following proposition:

LEMMA 2.3 *Let N, p, q, M be fixed. There exists $S_3 = S_3(N, p, q, M) \geq S_0$ such that, for all $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and w solution of equation (1.8) defined to the left of s_0 , such that $\|w(s)\|_{L^{p+1}(B)}$ is locally bounded, if $H(w(s_3)) < 0$ for some $s_3 \geq \max(S_3, s_0)$, then w blows up in some finite time $S > s_3$.*

Remark

If $w = w_{x_0, T_0}$ defined from a solution of (1.1) by (1.7) and x_0 is non characteristic point, then $\|w(s)\|_{H^1(B)}$ is locally bounded and so is $\|w(s)\|_{L^{p+1}(B)}$ by Sobolev's embedding.

Proof: The argument is the same as in the corresponding part in [3]. We write the proof for completeness. Arguing by contradiction, we assume that there exists a solution w on B , defined for all time $s \in [s_3, +\infty[$, where $H(w(s_3)) < 0$. Since the energy H decreases in time, we have $H(w(1 + s_3)) < 0$.

Consider now for $\delta > 0$ the function $\tilde{w}^\delta(y, s)$ for $(y, s) \in B \times [1 + s_3, +\infty[$ defined by

$$\forall s \geq 1 + s_3, \forall y \in B, \tilde{w}^\delta(y, s) = \frac{1}{(1 + \delta e^s)^{\frac{2}{p-1}}} w\left(\frac{y}{1 + \delta e^s}, -\log(\delta + e^{-s})\right).$$

- (A) Note that $\tilde{w}^\delta$ is defined in $B \times [1 + s_3, +\infty[$, whenever $\delta > 0$ is small enough such that $-\log(\delta + e^{-1-s_3}) \geq s_3$.
- (B) From its construction, $\tilde{w}^\delta$ is also a solution of (1.8) (Indeed, let u be such that $w = w_{0,0}$ in definition (1.7). Then u is a solution of (1.1) and $\tilde{w}^\delta = w_{0,-\delta}$ is defined as in (1.7); so $\tilde{w}^\delta$ is a solution of (1.8)).
- (C) For δ small enough, we have $H(\tilde{w}^\delta(1 + s_3)) < 0$ by continuity of the function $\delta \mapsto H(\tilde{w}^\delta(1 + s_3))$. Then, we write that $H(\tilde{w}^\delta(1 + s_3)) < 0$.

Now, we fix $\delta = \delta_0 > 0$ such that (A), (B) and (C) hold. Let us note that we have

$$-e^{-\gamma s} \int_B w^{\delta_0} w_s^{\delta_0} \rho dy \geq -\frac{1}{4} \int_B (w_s^{\delta_0})^2 \rho dy - e^{-2\gamma s} \int_B (w^{\delta_0})^2 \rho dy \quad (2.28)$$

and from (2.4)

$$-e^{-\frac{2(p+1)s}{p-1}} \int_B F(e^{\frac{2}{p-1}s} w^{\delta_0}) \rho dy \geq -C e^{-2\gamma s} - C e^{-2\gamma s} \int_B |w^{\delta_0}|^{p+1} \rho dy. \quad (2.29)$$

By (1.9), (1.11), (2.28) and (2.29) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} E(w^{\delta_0}(s)) &\geq \frac{1}{4} \int_B (w_s^{\delta_0})^2 \rho dy + \left(\frac{p+1}{(p-1)^2} - e^{-2\gamma s}\right) \int_B (w^{\delta_0})^2 \rho dy \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{1}{p+1} + C e^{-2\gamma s}\right) \int_B |w^{\delta_0}|^{p+1} \rho dy - C e^{-2\gamma s}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

We now choose $s_4 \geq s_3$ large enough, so that we have $\frac{p+1}{(p-1)^2} - e^{-2\gamma s_4} \geq 0$. Then, we deduce that we have, for all $s \geq s_4$,

$$E(w^{\delta_0}(s)) \geq -\left(\frac{1}{p+1} + Ce^{-2\gamma s}\right) \int_B |w^{\delta_0}|^{p+1} \rho dy - Ce^{-2\gamma s}.$$

Since $\rho \leq 1$, after a change of variables, we find that

$$E(w^{\delta_0}(s)) \geq -\frac{\left(\frac{1}{p+1} + Ce^{-2\gamma s}\right)}{(1 + \delta_0 e^s)^{\frac{4}{p-1} + 2 - N}} \int_B |w(z, -\log(\delta_0 + e^{-s}))|^{p+1} dz - Ce^{-2\gamma s}.$$

Since we have $-\log(\delta_0 + e^{-s}) \rightarrow -\log(\delta_0)$ as $s \rightarrow +\infty$ and since $\|w(s)\|_{L^{p+1}(B)}$ is locally bounded by hypothesis, by a continuity argument, it follows that the former integral remains bounded and

$$E(w^{\delta_0}(s)) \geq -\frac{C}{(1 + \delta_0 e^s)^{\frac{4}{p-1} + 2 - N}} - Ce^{-2\gamma s} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $s \rightarrow +\infty$ (use the fact that $\frac{4}{p-1} + 2 - N > 0$ which follows from the fact that $p < p_c$). So, from (1.10), it follows that

$$\liminf_{s \rightarrow +\infty} H(w^{\delta_0}(s)) \geq 0. \tag{2.31}$$

The inequality (2.31) contradicts the inequality $H(w^{\delta_0}(s_3 + 1)) < 0$ and the fact that the energy H decreases in time for $s \geq s_3$. This concludes the proof of Lemma 2.3. ■

3 Boundedness of the solution in similarity variables

We prove Theorem 2.2 here. Note that the lower bound follows from the finite speed of propagation and wellposedness in $H^1 \times L^2$. For a detailed argument in the similar case of equation (1.4), see Lemma 3.1 (page 1136) in [8].

We consider u a solution of (1.1) which is defined under the graph of $x \mapsto T(x)$, and x_0 a non characteristic point. Given some $T_0 \in (0, T(x_0)]$, we introduce w_{x_0, T_0} defined in (1.7), and write w for simplicity, when there is no ambiguity. We aim at bounding $\|(w, \partial_s w)(s)\|_{H^1 \times L^2(B)}$ for s large.

As in [6], by combining Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 2.3 (use in particular the remark after that Lemma) we get the following bounds:

COROLLARY 3.1 (*Bounds on E*) For all $s \geq \widehat{s}_3 = \widehat{s}_3(T_0) = \max(S_3, -\log T_0)$, $s_2 \geq s_1 \geq \widehat{s}_3$, it holds that

$$-C \leq E(w(s)) \leq M_0$$

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B w_s^2(y, s) \frac{\rho}{1 - |y|^2} dy ds \leq M_0, \quad (3.1)$$

where $M_0 = M_0(N, p, q, M, \widehat{s}_3(T_0), \|(u(t_3), u_t(t_3))\|_{H^1 \times L^2(B(x_0, \frac{e^{-\widehat{s}_3(T_0)}}{\delta_0(x_0)}))})$, $t_3 = t_3(T_0) = T_0 - e^{-\widehat{s}_3(T_0)}$, $C = C(N, p, q, M)$ and $\delta_0(x_0) \in (0, 1)$ is defined in (1.3).

Starting from these bounds, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is similar to the proof in [8] except for the treatment of the perturbation terms. In our opinion, handling these terms is straightforward in all the steps of the proof, except for the first step, where we bound the time averages of the $L_\rho^{p+1}(B)$ norm of w . For that reason, we only give that step and refer to [8] for the remaining steps in the proof of Theorem 1.2. This is the step we prove here (In the following K_1 denotes a constant that depends only on p, q, N, M, C, M_0 , and ε is an arbitrary positive number in $]0, 1[$).

PROPOSITION 3.2 (*Control of the space-time L^{p+1} norm of w*)

For all $s \geq 1 + \widehat{s}_3$,

$$\int_s^{s+1} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds \leq K_1(M_0, C, N, p, q, M). \quad (3.2)$$

Proof: For $s \geq 1 + \widehat{s}_3$, let us work with time integrals between s_1 et s_2 where $s_1 \in [s - 1, s]$ and $s_2 \in [s + 1, s + 2]$. By integrating the expression (1.9) of E in time between s_1 and s_2 , where $s_2 > s_1 > \widehat{s}_3$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} E(s) ds &= \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B \left(\frac{1}{2} w_s^2 + \frac{p+1}{(p-1)^2} w^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} |w|^{p+1} \right) \rho dy ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B \left(|\nabla w|^2 - (y \cdot \nabla w)^2 \right) \rho dy ds - \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-\gamma s} \int_B w w_s \rho dy ds \\ &- \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-\frac{2(p+1)s}{p-1}} \int_B F(e^{\frac{2}{p-1}s} w) \rho dy ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

By multiplying the equation (1.8) by $w\rho$ and integrating both in time and in space

over $B \times [s_1, s_2]$, we obtain the following identity, after some integration by parts :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\int_B \left(ww_s + \left(\frac{p+3}{2(p-1)} - N \right) w^2 \right) \rho dy \right]_{s_1}^{s_2} = \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B w_s^2 \rho dy ds \\
& - \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B (|\nabla w|^2 - (y \cdot \nabla w)^2) \rho dy ds - \frac{2p+2}{(p-1)^2} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B w^2 \rho dy ds \\
& + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds + 2 \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B ww_s (y \cdot \nabla \rho) dy ds + 2 \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B w_s (y \cdot \nabla w) \rho dy ds \\
& + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B e^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}} f \left(e^{\frac{2s}{p-1}} w \right) w \rho dy ds \\
& + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B e^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}} g \left(e^{\frac{(p+1)s}{p-1}} \left(w_s + y \cdot \nabla w + \frac{2}{p-1} w \right) \right) w \rho dy ds. \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

By combining the identities (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(p-1)}{2(p+1)} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds \tag{3.5} \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_B \left(ww_s + \left(\frac{p+3}{2(p-1)} - N \right) w^2 \right) \rho dy \right]_{s_1}^{s_2} - \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B w_s^2 \rho dy ds \\
& + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} E(s) ds - \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B ww_s (y \cdot \nabla \rho) dy ds - \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B w_s (y \cdot \nabla w) \rho dy ds \\
& - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B e^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}} g \left(e^{\frac{(p+1)s}{p-1}} \left(w_s + y \cdot \nabla w + \frac{2}{p-1} w \right) \right) w \rho dy ds}_{A_1} \\
& - \underbrace{\int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-\gamma s} \int_B ww_s \rho dy ds}_{A_2} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B e^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}} f \left(e^{\frac{2s}{p-1}} w \right) w \rho dy ds}_{A_3} \\
& + \underbrace{\int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-\frac{2(p+1)s}{p-1}} \int_B F(e^{\frac{2}{p-1}s} w) \rho dy ds}_{A_4}. \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that Proposition 3.2 follows from the following Lemma where we control all the terms on the right-hand side of the relation (3.5) in terms of the space-time L^{p+1} norm of w :

LEMMA 3.3 *For all $s \geq 1 + \widehat{s}_4$, for some $\widehat{s}_4 \geq \widehat{s}_3$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |\nabla w|^2 (1 - |y|^2) \rho dy ds \leq K_1 + C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\sup_{s \in [s_1, s_2]} \int_B w^2(y, s) \rho dy \leq \frac{K_1}{\varepsilon} + K_1 \varepsilon \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds. \tag{3.8}$$

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w_s y \cdot \nabla w| \rho dy ds \leq \frac{K_1}{\varepsilon} + K_1 \varepsilon \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w_s w y \cdot \nabla \rho| dy ds \leq \frac{K_1}{\varepsilon} + K_1 \varepsilon \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\int_B |w w_s| \rho dy \leq \int_B w_s^2 \rho dy + \frac{K_1}{\varepsilon} + K_1 \varepsilon \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\int_B (w_s^2(y, s_1) + w_s^2(y, s_2)) \rho dy \leq K_1, \quad (3.12)$$

$$|A_1| \leq \frac{K_1}{\varepsilon} + (K_1 \varepsilon + C e^{-s_1}) \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds, \quad (3.13)$$

$$|A_2| \leq \frac{K_1}{\varepsilon} + K_1 \varepsilon \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds, \quad (3.14)$$

$$|A_3| + |A_4| \leq C + C e^{-\gamma s_1} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds. \quad (3.15)$$

Indeed, from (3.5) and this Lemma, we deduce that

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds \leq \frac{K_1}{\varepsilon} + (K_1 \varepsilon + C e^{-s_1} + C e^{-\gamma s_1}) \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds.$$

Taking $\widehat{s}_5$ large enough and ε small enough so that $C e^{-\widehat{s}_5} + C e^{-\gamma \widehat{s}_5} \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $K_1 \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{4}$, we obtain (3.2).

It remains to prove Lemma 3.3.

Proof of Lemma 3.3: For the estimates (3.7), (3.8), (3.9), (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12), we can adapt with no difficulty the proof given in the case of the wave equation treated in [6].

Now, we control the terms A_1 , A_2 , A_3 and A_4 . Since $|g(x)| \leq M(1 + |x|)$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1| &\leq C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-s} \int_B w_s^2 \rho dy ds + C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-s} \int_B |y \cdot \nabla w| |w| \rho dy ds \\ &\quad + C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-s} \int_B w^2 \rho dy ds + C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}} \int_B |w| \rho dy ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

By using (3.1), we write

$$C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-s} \int_B w_s^2 \rho dy ds \leq K_1 \quad (3.17)$$

Using the fact that $e^{-s_1} \leq 1$ and the inequality (3.8), we obtain,

$$C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-s} \int_B w^2 \rho dy ds \leq C \sup_{s \in [s_1, s_2]} \int_B w^2 \rho dy \leq \frac{K_1}{\varepsilon} + K_1 \varepsilon \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds. \quad (3.18)$$

We infer from (3.18) and the inequality $|a| \leq 1 + a^2$ that

$$\begin{aligned} C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-\frac{2ps}{p-1}} \int_B |w| \rho dy ds &\leq C + C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-s} \int_B w^2 \rho dy ds \\ &\leq \frac{K_1}{\varepsilon} + K_1 \varepsilon \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we write

$$\begin{aligned} C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-s} \int_B |w| |y \cdot \nabla w| \rho dy ds &\leq C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-s} \int_B |w| |y| |\nabla w| \rho dy ds \\ &\leq C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-s} \int_B w^2 \frac{|y|^2}{1 - |y|^2} \rho dy ds + C e^{-s_1} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |\nabla w|^2 (1 - |y|^2) \rho dy ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

By combining (3.18), (3.20), (2.18), (3.7) and (3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-s} \int_B |w| |y \cdot \nabla w| \rho dy ds \\ &\leq C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-s} \int_B w^2 \rho dy ds + C e^{-s_1} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |\nabla w|^2 (1 - |y|^2) \rho dy ds \\ &\leq C e^{-s_1} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B w^2 \rho dy ds + K_1 e^{-s_1} + C e^{-s_1} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds \\ &\leq \frac{K_1}{\varepsilon} + (K_1 \varepsilon + C e^{-s_1}) \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Using (3.16), (3.17), (3.18), (3.19) and (3.21), we obtain

$$|A_1| \leq \frac{K_1}{\varepsilon} + (K_1 \varepsilon + C e^{-s_1}) \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds. \quad (3.22)$$

Similarly, we deduce by (3.1) and (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} |A_2| &\leq C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w w_s| \rho dy ds \leq \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B w_s^2 \rho dy ds + C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B w^2 \rho dy ds \\ &\leq K_1 + C \sup_{s \in [s_1, s_2]} \int_B w^2 \rho dy \leq \frac{K_1}{\varepsilon} + K_1 \varepsilon \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Finally, by (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |A_3| + |A_4| &\leq C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-\frac{2(p-q)s}{p-1}} ds + C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-\frac{2(p-q)s}{p-1}} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds \\ &\leq C + C e^{-\gamma s_1} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_B |w|^{p+1} \rho dy ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.2 too. ■

Since the derivation of Theorem 1.2 from Proposition 3.2 is the same as in the non perturbed case treated in [8] (up to some very minor changes), this concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2. ■

References

- [1] S. Alinhac. Blow-up for nonlinear hyperbolic equations, volume 17 of Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications. Birkhauser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1995.
- [2] S. Alinhac. A minicourse on global existence and blowup of classical solutions to multidimensional quasilinear wave equations. In Journées Equations aux Dérivées Partielles (Forges-les-Eaux, 2002), pages Exp. No. I, 33. Univ. Nantes, Nantes, 2002.
- [3] C. Antonini and F. Merle. Optimal bounds on positive blow-up solutions for a semi- linear wave equation. Internat. Math. Res. Notices, (21):1141-1167, 2001.
- [4] H.A Levine. Instability and non-existence of global solutions of non-linear wave equation of the form $Pu_{tt} = Au + F(u)$. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 192: 1-21, 1974.
- [5] H.A Levine. Some additional remarks on the non-existence of global solutions to non-linear wave equation. SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis 5 , 138-146, 1974.
- [6] F. Merle and H. Zaag. Determination of the blow-up rate for the semilinear wave equation. Amer. J. Math., 125:1147-1164, 2003.
- [7] F. Merle and H. Zaag. Determination of the blow-up rate for a critical semilinear wave equation. Math. Annalen, 331(2):395-416, 2005.
- [8] F. Merle and H. Zaag. Blow-up rate near the blow-up surface for semilinear wave equations. Internat. Math. Res. Notices, 19:1127-1155, 2005.
- [9] F. Merle and H. Zaag. Existence and universality of the blow-up profile for the semilinear wave equation in one space dimension. J. Funct. Anal., 253(1):43-121, 2007.
- [10] F. Merle and H. Zaag. Openness of the set of non characteristic points and regularity of the blow-up curve for the 1 d semilinear wave equation. Comm. Math. Phys., 282: 55-86, 2008.

- [11] F. Merle and H. Zaag. Existence and characterization of characteristic points for a semilinear wave equation in one space dimension . arxiv 25 novembre 2008.

Address:

Université de Tunis El-Manar, Faculté des Sciences de Tunis, Département de mathématiques, Campus Universitaire 1060, Tunis, Tunisia.

e-mail: `ma.hamza@fst.rnu.tn`

Université Paris 13, Institut Galilée, Laboratoire Analyse, Géométrie et Applications, CNRS UMR 7539, 99 avenue J.B. Clément, 93430 Villetaneuse, France.

e-mail: `Hatem.Zaag@univ-paris13.fr`